organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N,N'-Bis[(E)-2-fluorobenzylidene]-1-(2-fluorophenyl)methanediamine

Jerry P. Jasinski,^a* Ray J. Butcher,^b Q. N. M. Hakim Al-Arique,^c H. S. Yathirajan^c and B. Narayana^d

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Keene State College, 229 Main Street, Keene, NH 03435-2001, USA, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, 525 College Street NW, Washington, DC 20059, USA, ^cDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India, and ^dDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, India Correspondence e-mail: jjasinski@keene.edu

Received 23 December 2009; accepted 15 January 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 200 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.152; data-to-parameter ratio = 23.3.

In the title compound, $C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2$, the benzene ring bonded to the central C atom forms dihedral angles of 77.5 (7) and 89.0 $(5)^{\circ}$, respectively, with the remaining two benzene rings. Weak intermolecular C-H···F hydrogen bonds link the molecules into chains propagated in [101]. The crystal packing exhibits weak π - π interactions as evidenced by relatively short distances between the centroids of the aromatic rings [3.820 (7) and 3.971 (5) Å]. A MOPAC PM3 optimization of the molecular geometry in vacuo supports a suggestion that intermolecular forces have a significnt influence on the molecular conformation in the crystal.

Related literature

For aromatic aldehyde reactions, see Williams & Bailar (1959). For kinetics of hydrobenzamides, see Crampton et al. (1997). For conventional preparation of hydrobenzamides, see Kamal & Qureshi (1963). For related structures, see: Corey & Kuhnle (1997); Karupaiyan et al. (1998); Saigo et al. (1986). For bondlength data, see: Allen et al. (1987). For the synthesis of nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compounds, see Kupfer & Brinker (1996). For MOPAC PM3 calculations, see Schmidt & Polik (2007).



 $\gamma = 108.165 \ (5)^{\circ}$

Z = 2

V = 839.23 (8) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.49 \times 0.29 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

 $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 K

Experimental

Crystal data
$C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2$
$M_r = 352.35$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
a = 8.0215 (5) Å
b = 9.3740 (4) Å
c = 11.9744 (6) Å
$\alpha = 99.184 \ (4)^{\circ}$
$\beta = 93.179 \ (5)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini	5484 independent reflections
diffractometer	3292 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
11550 measured reflections	$R_{int} = 0.025$
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	235 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.152$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.57$ e Å ⁻³
5484 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.20$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

```
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).
```

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C5B-H5BA\cdots F1A^{i}$	0.95	2.53	3.3871 (16)	151
Symmetry code: (i) $r \perp 1$	v 7 ± 1			

Symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y, z + 1.

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

QNMHA thanks the University of Mysore for use of their research facilities. RJB acknowledges the NSF MRI program (grant No. CHE-0619278) for funds to purchase an X-ray diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV2683).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Corey, E. T. & Kuhnle, F. M. (1997). Tetrahedron Lett. 38, 8631-8634.
- Crampton, M. R., Lord, S. D. & Millar, R. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 909–914.
- Kamal, K. A. & Qureshi, A. A. (1963). *Tetrahedron*, **19**, 869–872.
- Karupaiyan, K., Srirajan, V., Deshmukh, A. R. A. S. & Bhawal, B. M. (1998). *Tetrahedron*, 54, 4375–4386.
- Kupfer, R. & Brinker, U. H. (1996). J. Org. Chem. 61, 4185-4186.
- Oxford Diffraction (2007). CrysAlis PRO . Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Saigo, K., Kubota, N., Takabayashi, S. & Hasegawa, M. (1986). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 59, 931–932.
- Schmidt, J. R. & Polik, W. F. (2007). WebMO Pro. WebMO, LLC: Holland, MI, USA, available from http://www.webmo.net.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Williams, O. F. & Bailar, J. C. (1959). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 4464-4469.

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, 0422-0423 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810001984]

N,*N*'-Bis[(*E*)-2-fluorobenzylidene]-1-(2-fluorophenyl)methanediamine

J. P. Jasinski, R. J. Butcher, Q. N. M. Hakim Al-Arique, H. S. Yathirajan and B. Narayana

Comment

Reaction of aromatic aldehydes with ammonia leads to the long-known compounds called "hydrobenzamides" (Williams & Bailar, 1959). Owing to their unique structural features and reactivity, these compounds have been recognized as potential key intermediates for the synthesis of a variety of nitrogen containing heterocyclic compounds (Kupfer & Brinker, 1996). Extensive studies on kinetics and mechanism of formation of hydrobenzamides from aromatic aldehydes and ammonia have been well documented (Crampton *et al.* 1997). The only conventional method available for the preparation of these compounds involves the reaction of aldehydes with ammonia, a complex reversible reaction which takes days to weeks for completion (Kamal & Qureshi, 1963). Moreover, protic solvents used in this reaction such as methanol or water enhance the reversible conversion of products into starting aldehydes, thereby reducing the yields even after longer reaction times. Due to the importance of these compounds, we report the crystal structure of a newly synthesized derivative, $C_{21}H_{15}F_{3}N_{2}$, (I).

The title compound, $C_{21}H_{15}F_{3}N_{2}$, (I), consists of a 2-fluorophenyl group and a *N*,*N*-bis[(*E*)-(2-fluorophenyl)methylidene]methanediamine group bonded to a methane carbon, C1 (Fig. 1). The benzene ring bonded to the central methyl carbon atom forms dihedral angles of 77.5 (7)° and 89.0 (5)°, respectively, with the remaining two benzene rings. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the remaining two benzene rings is 15.7 (7)°. Five of the angles around the methane carbon, C1, are in the vicinity of the 108°-109° range (N1A—C1—C2; 109.45 (11)°, N1B—C1—C2; 108.04 (10)°, C2—C1—H1A; 108.(2)°, N1A—C1—H1A; 108.(2)°, N1B—C1—H1A; 108.(2)°) with only the N1A—C1—N2A angle measuring 114.48 (10)° giving it a slightly distorted *sp*³ configuration in the direction of the two nitrogen atoms. Bond lengths and bond angles are all within expected ranges (Allen *et al.*, 1987).

Crystal packing is influenced by weak C—H···F intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions which link the molecule into chains propagating obliquely along the *c* axis in the direction [101] (Fig. 2). In addition, weak $Cg2\cdots Cg2$ (3.971 (5) Å; -*x*, 1 - *y*, -*z*) and $Cg3\cdots Cg3$ (3.820 (7) Å; 2 - *x*, 2 - *y*, 1 - *z*) π - π intermolecular interactions are observed with slippage distances of 1.81 (4) Å and 1.76 (5) Å, respectively. (Cg2, Cg3 = ring centroids for C2A—C7A and C2B—C7B, respectively).

In support of these observations, a MOPAC PM3 calculation was performed on the $C_{21}H_{15}F_{3}N_{2}$, molecule with WebMO Pro (Schmidt & Polik, 2007) (PM3, Parameterized Model 3) approximation together with the Hartree-Fock closed-shell (restricted) wavefunction was used and minimizations were teminnated at an r.m.s. gradient of less than 0.01 kJ mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹.). While the bond distances did not appear to change significantly, selected bond and torsion angles were noticeably different. The bond angle for N1A—C1A—N1B (114.48 (10)° *versus* 111.3°) is shorter and for C2A—C3A—F1A (117.81 (12)° *versus* 120.4°) is wider after the calculation. The torsion angles for C1A—N1A—C1—C2 (86.45 (14)° *versus* 78.17°) and C1B—N1B—C1—C2 (124.39 (13)° *versus* 96.35°) are both much lower after the calculation indicating a much greater twist causing the two benzene rings to be further apart. This is supported by the PM3 calculated value of 36.79° (*versus*. 15.7 (7)° before the calculation) for the angle between the mean planes of the two benzene rings. In addition the angles between the mean planes of the two benzene rings with the C1 bonded benzene are 70.22° (*versus*.77.5 (7)°) and 82.32° (*versus*. 89.0 (5)°), respectively, after the calculation. This suggests that small changes in some bond distances and selectively in

some bond and torsion angles, especially involving the diamine nitrogen atoms have been infuenced by the collective effect of all of the weak intermolecular interactions that have been observed in the crystal packing.

Experimental

10 ml of 25% methanolic ammonia was added to a solution of 2 g of 2-flurobenzaldehyde in 10 ml me thanol and left to stand at ambient temperature for 2 days, during which the crystalline products separated out (Fig. 3). The crude crystals were filtered off, washed with cold methanol. Good quality *x*-ray grade crystals were obtained by the slow evaporation of the solution in ethyl acetate (m.p.: 425–427 K). Analysis for the title compound $C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2$: Found (calculated): C: 71.75 (71.82); H: 4.26 (4.29); N: 7.90 (7.95).

Refinement

All of the H atoms were placed in their calculated positions and then refined using the riding model with C—H = 0.95 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.18-1.20U_{eq}(C)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I), $C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2$, showing the atom labeling scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Fig. 2. The molecular packing for (I) viewed down the *b* axis. Dashed lines indicate weak C—H···F intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions which link the molecule into chains propagating obliquely along the *c* axis.

Fig. 3. Synthetic scheme for $C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2$, (I).

N,N'-Bis[(E)-2-fluorobenzylidene]-1-(2- fluorophenyl)methanediamine

Crystat aata	
$C_{21}H_{15}F_{3}N_{2}$	Z = 2
$M_r = 352.35$	F(000) = 364
Triclinic, <i>P</i> T	$D_{\rm x} = 1.394 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 8.0215 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 4026 reflections

Conversal data

b = 9.3740 (4) Å	$\theta = 4.6 - 32.4^{\circ}$
c = 11.9744 (6) Å	$\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 99.184 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 200 K
$\beta = 93.179 \ (5)^{\circ}$	Prism, colourless
$\gamma = 108.165 \ (5)^{\circ}$	$0.49 \times 0.29 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
V = 839.23 (8) Å ³	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Gemini diffractometer	3292 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 32.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.6^{\circ}$
Detector resolution: 10.5081 pixels mm ⁻¹	$h = -11 \rightarrow 12$
ϕ and ω scans	$k = -14 \rightarrow 13$
11550 measured reflections	$l = -16 \rightarrow 17$
5484 independent reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.152$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.00	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0841P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
5484 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
235 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.57 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
F1	0.62912 (14)	1.01485 (11)	0.09363 (8)	0.0596 (3)

F1A	0.21867 (14)	0.54600 (10)	-0.14842 (7)	0.0511 (3)
F1B	0.62463 (12)	0.41513 (8)	0.48075 (7)	0.0434 (2)
N1A	0.43939 (15)	0.62936 (12)	0.17778 (9)	0.0315 (3)
N1B	0.70316 (15)	0.77388 (12)	0.31351 (9)	0.0314 (3)
C1	0.58583 (18)	0.77356 (14)	0.21571 (11)	0.0297 (3)
H1A	0.6576	0.7941	0.1509	0.036*
C2	0.51189 (17)	0.90345 (13)	0.24663 (10)	0.0282 (3)
C3	0.53477 (19)	1.01805 (15)	0.18400 (11)	0.0344 (3)
C4	0.4682 (2)	1.13682 (16)	0.20930 (13)	0.0424 (4)
H4A	0.4871	1.2137	0.1640	0.051*
C5	0.3733 (2)	1.14203 (16)	0.30200 (13)	0.0427 (4)
H5A	0.3249	1.2222	0.3203	0.051*
C6	0.3491 (2)	1.03019 (16)	0.36807 (12)	0.0404 (3)
H6A	0.2855	1.0345	0.4324	0.049*
C7	0.4174 (2)	0.91224 (15)	0.34048 (11)	0.0348 (3)
H7A	0.3997	0.8359	0.3862	0.042*
C1A	0.38045 (18)	0.59817 (14)	0.07361 (10)	0.0284 (3)
H1AA	0.4326	0.6673	0.0256	0.034*
C2A	0.23439 (17)	0.45892 (13)	0.02440 (10)	0.0269 (3)
C3A	0.15517 (19)	0.43569 (15)	-0.08628 (11)	0.0325 (3)
C4A	0.0176 (2)	0.30824 (17)	-0.13529 (12)	0.0411 (4)
H4AA	-0.0330	0.2975	-0.2111	0.049*
C5A	-0.0459 (2)	0.19599 (17)	-0.07250 (14)	0.0479 (4)
H5AA	-0.1414	0.1067	-0.1050	0.057*
C6A	0.0291 (2)	0.21259 (16)	0.03835 (13)	0.0468 (4)
H6AA	-0.0148	0.1346	0.0813	0.056*
C7A	0.1674 (2)	0.34260 (15)	0.08573 (11)	0.0361 (3)
H7AA	0.2180	0.3532	0.1615	0.043*
C1B	0.67867 (17)	0.65547 (14)	0.35664 (10)	0.0281 (3)
H1BA	0.5831	0.5652	0.3263	0.034*
C2B	0.80098 (17)	0.65923 (13)	0.45521 (10)	0.0276 (3)
C3B	0.76856 (18)	0.54090 (14)	0.51567 (11)	0.0305 (3)
C4B	0.8769 (2)	0.54521 (16)	0.61103 (12)	0.0367 (3)
H4BA	0.8495	0.4630	0.6515	0.044*
C5B	1.0254 (2)	0.67148 (17)	0.64619 (12)	0.0409 (4)
H5BA	1.1014	0.6764	0.7115	0.049*
C6B	1.0645 (2)	0.79119 (16)	0.58684 (12)	0.0408 (4)
H6BA	1.1675	0.8775	0.6110	0.049*
C7B	0.95321 (19)	0.78464 (14)	0.49220 (11)	0.0335 (3)
H7BA	0.9809	0.8669	0.4518	0.040*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
F1	0.0633 (7)	0.0747 (7)	0.0551 (6)	0.0276 (6)	0.0245 (5)	0.0366 (5)
F1A	0.0650 (7)	0.0515 (5)	0.0334 (4)	0.0138 (5)	-0.0069 (4)	0.0125 (4)
F1B	0.0381 (5)	0.0310 (4)	0.0537 (5)	0.0007 (4)	0.0004 (4)	0.0093 (4)
N1A	0.0280 (6)	0.0319 (5)	0.0289 (5)	0.0041 (5)	-0.0036 (5)	0.0032 (4)

N1B	0.0261 (6)	0.0322 (5)	0.0328 (5)	0.0068 (5)	-0.0042 (5)	0.0047 (4)
C1	0.0253 (7)	0.0308 (6)	0.0286 (6)	0.0035 (5)	-0.0018 (5)	0.0056 (5)
C2	0.0223 (6)	0.0282 (6)	0.0279 (6)	0.0011 (5)	-0.0053 (5)	0.0043 (5)
C3	0.0288 (7)	0.0393 (7)	0.0314 (6)	0.0039 (6)	0.0012 (6)	0.0117 (6)
C4	0.0439 (9)	0.0345 (7)	0.0473 (8)	0.0078 (7)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0168 (6)
C5	0.0429 (9)	0.0327 (7)	0.0489 (8)	0.0125 (6)	-0.0068 (7)	0.0008 (6)
C6	0.0397 (9)	0.0411 (7)	0.0363 (7)	0.0101 (7)	0.0041 (6)	0.0016 (6)
C7	0.0379 (8)	0.0317 (6)	0.0317 (6)	0.0062 (6)	0.0030 (6)	0.0081 (5)
C1A	0.0271 (7)	0.0291 (6)	0.0282 (6)	0.0082 (5)	0.0020 (5)	0.0056 (5)
C2A	0.0252 (7)	0.0280 (6)	0.0266 (6)	0.0106 (5)	0.0001 (5)	-0.0001 (5)
C3A	0.0327 (8)	0.0361 (7)	0.0294 (6)	0.0146 (6)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0023 (5)
C4A	0.0330 (8)	0.0471 (8)	0.0371 (7)	0.0152 (7)	-0.0087 (6)	-0.0097 (6)
C5A	0.0316 (8)	0.0408 (8)	0.0581 (10)	0.0038 (7)	-0.0024 (7)	-0.0103 (7)
C6A	0.0441 (10)	0.0343 (7)	0.0544 (9)	0.0031 (7)	0.0059 (8)	0.0062 (7)
C7A	0.0372 (8)	0.0360 (7)	0.0326 (7)	0.0095 (6)	0.0015 (6)	0.0051 (5)
C1B	0.0236 (7)	0.0283 (6)	0.0282 (6)	0.0052 (5)	0.0004 (5)	0.0002 (5)
C2B	0.0249 (7)	0.0278 (6)	0.0277 (6)	0.0080 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0008 (5)
C3B	0.0278 (7)	0.0264 (6)	0.0345 (6)	0.0066 (5)	0.0037 (6)	0.0022 (5)
C4B	0.0420 (9)	0.0373 (7)	0.0360 (7)	0.0171 (7)	0.0054 (6)	0.0126 (6)
C5B	0.0406 (9)	0.0509 (8)	0.0327 (7)	0.0182 (7)	-0.0042 (6)	0.0077 (6)
C6B	0.0325 (8)	0.0401 (7)	0.0413 (7)	0.0041 (6)	-0.0087 (6)	0.0033 (6)
C7B	0.0306 (7)	0.0295 (6)	0.0368 (7)	0.0055 (6)	-0.0025 (6)	0.0064 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1—C3	1.3562 (16)	C2A—C7A	1.3968 (18)
F1A—C3A	1.3571 (16)	C3A—C4A	1.3681 (19)
F1B—C3B	1.3558 (15)	C4A—C5A	1.376 (2)
N1A—C1A	1.2637 (15)	C4A—H4AA	0.9500
N1A—C1	1.4725 (16)	C5A—C6A	1.391 (2)
N1B—C1B	1.2632 (15)	С5А—Н5АА	0.9500
N1B—C1	1.4602 (16)	С6А—С7А	1.380 (2)
C1—C2	1.5173 (18)	С6А—Н6АА	0.9500
C1—H1A	1.0000	С7А—Н7АА	0.9500
C2—C3	1.3782 (18)	C1B—C2B	1.4811 (17)
C2—C7	1.3958 (19)	C1B—H1BA	0.9500
C3—C4	1.377 (2)	C2B—C3B	1.3856 (17)
C4—C5	1.383 (2)	C2B—C7B	1.3957 (17)
C4—H4A	0.9500	C3B—C4B	1.3833 (18)
C5—C6	1.385 (2)	C4B—C5B	1.380 (2)
C5—H5A	0.9500	C4B—H4BA	0.9500
C6—C7	1.383 (2)	C5B—C6B	1.387 (2)
С6—Н6А	0.9500	C5B—H5BA	0.9500
C7—H7A	0.9500	C6B—C7B	1.3846 (18)
C1A—C2A	1.4656 (17)	С6В—Н6ВА	0.9500
C1A—H1AA	0.9500	С7В—Н7ВА	0.9500
C2A—C3A	1.3914 (16)		
C1A—N1A—C1	116.40 (11)	C4A—C3A—C2A	123.58 (13)
C1B—N1B—C1	120.47 (10)	C3A—C4A—C5A	118.57 (13)

N1B—C1—N1A	114.48 (10)	СЗА—С4А—Н4АА	120.7
N1B—C1—C2	108.04 (10)	С5А—С4А—Н4АА	120.7
N1A—C1—C2	109.45 (11)	C4A—C5A—C6A	120.35 (13)
N1B—C1—H1A	108.2	С4А—С5А—Н5АА	119.8
N1A—C1—H1A	108.2	С6А—С5А—Н5АА	119.8
C2—C1—H1A	108.2	C7A—C6A—C5A	119.82 (14)
C3—C2—C7	116.89 (12)	С7А—С6А—Н6АА	120.1
C3—C2—C1	121.87 (12)	С5А—С6А—Н6АА	120.1
C7—C2—C1	121.24 (11)	C6A—C7A—C2A	121.23 (12)
F1—C3—C4	118.24 (12)	С6А—С7А—Н7АА	119.4
F1—C3—C2	118.47 (13)	С2А—С7А—Н7АА	119.4
C4—C3—C2	123.29 (13)	N1B—C1B—C2B	119.11 (11)
C3—C4—C5	118.71 (13)	N1B—C1B—H1BA	120.4
C3—C4—H4A	120.6	C2B—C1B—H1BA	120.4
C5—C4—H4A	120.6	C3B—C2B—C7B	117.18 (11)
C4—C5—C6	119.87 (14)	C3B—C2B—C1B	121.92 (11)
С4—С5—Н5А	120.1	C7B—C2B—C1B	120.89 (11)
С6—С5—Н5А	120.1	F1B-C3B-C4B	118.11 (12)
C7—C6—C5	120.09 (14)	F1B-C3B-C2B	119.07 (11)
С7—С6—Н6А	120.0	C4B—C3B—C2B	122.82 (12)
С5—С6—Н6А	120.0	C5B—C4B—C3B	118.61 (13)
C6—C7—C2	121.14 (13)	C5B—C4B—H4BA	120.7
С6—С7—Н7А	119.4	СЗВ—С4В—Н4ВА	120.7
С2—С7—Н7А	119.4	C4B—C5B—C6B	120.43 (12)
N1A—C1A—C2A	122.22 (12)	C4B—C5B—H5BA	119.8
N1A—C1A—H1AA	118.9	C6B—C5B—H5BA	119.8
C2A—C1A—H1AA	118.9	C7B—C6B—C5B	119.84 (13)
C3A—C2A—C7A	116.45 (12)	С7В—С6В—Н6ВА	120.1
C3A—C2A—C1A	121.58 (11)	С5В—С6В—Н6ВА	120.1
C7A—C2A—C1A	121.97 (11)	C6B—C7B—C2B	121.11 (12)
F1A—C3A—C4A	118.62 (11)	С6В—С7В—Н7ВА	119.4
F1A—C3A—C2A	117.81 (12)	С2В—С7В—Н7ВА	119.4
C1B—N1B—C1—N1A	2.17 (18)	C7A—C2A—C3A—C4A	0.7 (2)
C1B—N1B—C1—C2	124.39 (13)	C1A—C2A—C3A—C4A	-179.39 (13)
C1A—N1A—C1—N1B	-152.10 (12)	F1A-C3A-C4A-C5A	179.56 (13)
C1A—N1A—C1—C2	86.45 (14)	C2A—C3A—C4A—C5A	-0.4 (2)
N1B-C1-C2-C3	122.02 (13)	C3A—C4A—C5A—C6A	-0.1 (2)
N1A-C1-C2-C3	-112.72 (13)	C4A—C5A—C6A—C7A	0.2 (2)
N1B—C1—C2—C7	-57.86 (15)	C5A—C6A—C7A—C2A	0.0 (2)
N1A—C1—C2—C7	67.40 (14)	C3A—C2A—C7A—C6A	-0.5 (2)
C7—C2—C3—F1	178.64 (11)	C1A—C2A—C7A—C6A	179.60 (14)
C1—C2—C3—F1	-1.25 (18)	C1—N1B—C1B—C2B	179.50 (12)
C7—C2—C3—C4	-0.6 (2)	N1B—C1B—C2B—C3B	171.37 (12)
C1—C2—C3—C4	179.50 (13)	N1B—C1B—C2B—C7B	-7.51 (19)
F1—C3—C4—C5	-179.35 (13)	C7B—C2B—C3B—F1B	-178.25 (12)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.1 (2)	C1B—C2B—C3B—F1B	2.83 (19)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.9 (2)	C7B—C2B—C3B—C4B	1.9 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.9 (2)	C1B—C2B—C3B—C4B	-177.00 (13)
C5—C6—C7—C2	0.2 (2)	F1B—C3B—C4B—C5B	178.88 (12)

C3—C2—C7—C6	0.54 (19)	C2B—C3B—C4B—C5B		-1.3 (2)
C1—C2—C7—C6	-179.57 (12)	C3B—C4B—C5B—C6B		0.0 (2)
C1—N1A—C1A—C2A	-179.66 (11)	C4B—C5B—C6B—C7B		0.5 (2)
N1A—C1A—C2A—C3A	171.24 (13)	С5В—С6В—С7В—С2В		0.2 (2)
N1A—C1A—C2A—C7A	-8.8 (2)	C3B—C2B—C7B—C6B		-1.3 (2)
C7A—C2A—C3A—F1A	-179.31 (12)	C1B—C2B—C7B—C6B		177.59 (13)
C1A—C2A—C3A—F1A	0.63 (19)			
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)				
D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C5B—H5BA…F1A ⁱ	0.95	2.53	3.3871 (16)	151.
Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1$, y , $z+1$.				



Fig. 1



Fig. 3

